

# Multiple Interfaces (MIF) Problem Statement

MIF BOF  
IETF 74, San Francisco

Marc Blanchet  
Viagenie  
[marc.blanchet@viagenie.ca](mailto:marc.blanchet@viagenie.ca)

2009-03-26

# Related Drafts to PS

- draft-blanchet-mif-problem-statement-00.txt
  - Used as the framework for this presentation
- draft-yang-mif-req-00.txt
- draft-hong-mif-analysis-scenario-00.txt
  
- draft-hui-ip-multiple-connections-ps
- draft-savolainen-6man-fqdn-based-if-selection

# Context

- A host (phone, laptop, server, ...) has multiple network interfaces (physical and/or virtual), such as:
  - wired Ethernet LAN, a 802.11 LAN, a 3G cell network, one or multiple VPN connections
  - and/or one or multiple automatic or manual tunnels.
- Receives configuration information from **each** of its access networks, through: DHCPv4, DHCPv6, PPP, IPv6 RA, ....

# Assumptions

- Host:
  - Has already discovered/selected/authenticated into its access networks
  - interfaces are enabled for IP traffic
  - Is not a router
  - Is not necessarily running mobileIP code
  - May or may not be mobile

# Interface-scoped vs node-scoped

- Received configuration objects are:
  - interface-scoped, such as:
    - IP address, link prefix.
  - node-scoped, such as:
    - routing information (default gateway)
    - DNS servers IP addresses
    - address selection policies
    - NTP-server IP addresses, ...

# Symptom of the Problem

- Insufficient or conflicting configuration results in traffic going out the wrong interface. Wrong may mean that a particular service is not available via that interface, or that even if it is, the path chosen is not desirable for reasons such as security concerns, cost, etc."
- Next slides detail some issues

# DNS

- Each interface configuration object has different DNS servers IP addresses
- On some interfaces, DNS serves private names
  - VPN to corp network
  - Subscriber-only services
- Private names resolution is only available on specific interfaces.
- If node-scoped DNS server addresses are:
  - Not the right ones to resolve the private names
  - Or is reachable by another (i.e. wrong) interface
- Then resolution of the private names does not work or resolves to wrong data (same private names)

# Interface selection

- Node may have multiple routes to a destination, such as multiple defaults on multiple interfaces. Node/app have no hint to decide which interface to use.
- Node may need to reach another node through a specific interface, while there is no specific route to it through that interface.
- Address space on some interfaces may be colliding.

# Interface selection

- There is no standard way for the network to provide information to the node to choose an interface.

# Address Selection

- Source addresses on some access networks are not valid (not reachable on the way back, filtered, ...).
  - Not only choosing the right interface is a problem, but also which source address to use.
- Networks may need to push specific address selection policies, but the current address selection policy is implemented as node-scoped. Conflicts in address selection policies exists because they depend on the interface.

# Today

- Implementations use different techniques to mitigate the stated problem.
  - See draft-mrw-mif-current-practices
  - next presentation

# Questions?

Marc Blanchet

[marc.blanchet@viagenie.ca](mailto:marc.blanchet@viagenie.ca)

Reading list:

- draft-blanchet-mif-problem-statement-00.txt
- draft-yang-mif-req-00.txt
- draft-hong-mif-analysis-scenario-00.txt